

# Department of the Air Force

## Base Realignment and Closure Part IV (BRAC 95)

# FY 2000/2001 Biennial Budget Estimates

Justification Data Submitted to Congress: February 1999

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

DER GOATERS TREAMERED T

Preceding Page Blank

999022400

### FY 2000/2001 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA

### 1995 COMMISSION

### 1995 Commission

I.	Base Realignment and Closure				
II.	Finan	cial Summary	9		
III.	Base	Realignment and Closure Detail - By Package			
	1.	Bergstrom Air Reserve Base, Texas	11		
	2.	Eglin Air Force Base, Florida	15		
	3.	Grand Forks Air Force Base, North Dakota	19		
	4.	Griffiss Air Force Base 485th Engineering Instl, New York	23		
	5.	Griffiss Air Force Base Airfield Supt 10th Inf Light Div, New York	27		
	6.	Hill Air Force Base Test and Training Range, Utah	31		
	7.	Homestead Air Force Base 301st Rescue Squadron, Florida	35		
	8.	Homestead Air Force Base 726th Air Control Squadron, Florida	39		
	9.	Kelly Air Force Base, Texas	43		
	10.	Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado	61		
	11.	Malmstrom Air Force Base, Montana	65		
	12.	McClellan Air Force Base, California	69		
	13.	O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois	75		
	14.	Onizuka Air Station, California	81		
	15.	Ontario IAP Air Guard Station, California	87		
	16.	REDCAP, New York	91		
	17.	Reese Air Force Base, Texas	95		
	18.	Roslyn Air Guard Station, New York	101		
	19.	Program Management			
IV.	Milita	ry Construction Project List by State	117		
		· ·			

#### FY 2000/2001 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA 1995 COMMISSION

#### U.S. Air Force Overview

#### **Schedule:**

FY 1996: Base Closure Account requirement: \$115.0 Million. Air Force requirements for FY 1996 consisted of preliminary planning, designing, and initiating military construction projects associated with the realignments of Malmstrom AFB, Montana, and O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois to accommodate the movement of units into gaining locations. Funding was also necessary for: environmental compliance and restoration actions to meet requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act at each closure/realignment location (especially Kelly AFB, Texas, McClellan AFB, California and Reese AFB, Texas), for family housing, operation and maintenance, and military personnel Permanent Change of Station (PCS) (mainly at Malmstrom and Reese).

**Bergstrom AFB, TX:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.5 Million The funding supported operation and maintenance costs.

Eglin AFB, FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Grand Forks AFB, ND: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Griffiss AFB (485th), NY:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.0 Million The funding supported operation and maintenance costs.

Griffiss AFB (10th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Hill AFB, UT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (301st), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Homestead AFB (726th), FL:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.1 Million The funding supported operation and maintenance and procurement costs.

<u>Kelly AFB, TX:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$24.7 Million

The funding supported operation and maintenance and environmental compliance and restoration.

<u>Lowry AFB, CO:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million The funding supported operation and maintenance costs.

<u>Malmstrom AFB, MT:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.7 Million The funding supported military construction, other procurement, environmental requirements, military personnel PCS and operation and maintenance costs.

McClellan AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$36.2 Million The funding was for military construction, environmental compliance and restoration, and operation and maintenance costs.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.5 Million The funding was for operation and maintenance, military construction and environmental.

<u>Onizuka AS, CA:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.4 Million The funding supported operation and maintenance, environmental, and other procurement costs. Ontario IAP AGS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million The funding was for environmental and operation and maintenance requirements.

REDCAP, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Reese AFB, TX:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.6 Million The funding was for operation and maintenance, environmental, and military personnel PCS.

Roslyn AGS, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Program Management:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$13.7 Million Funding was for planning and design for military construction, environmental, and operation and maintenance requirements.

FY 1997: Base Closure Account requirement: \$325.1 Million which includes \$87.5 Million from the City of Chicago for the O'Hare closure for a request of \$237.5 Million. 1995 Commission recommendations for Ontario IAP Air Guard Station, Bergstrom AFB, the 485th portion of Griffiss AFB's redirect, Hill AFB (UTTR), and Homestead AFB (301st and 726th) were scheduled for completion. Air Force requirements for FY 1997 consisted of \$77.5M in military construction projects, the majority of which supported the realignment of Griffiss and Malmstrom AFBs as well as the redirect of Homestead AFB. Funding supported environmental requirements, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS, and family housing (planning & design).

**Bergstrom AFB, TX:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$4.5 Million The funding supported military construction and operation and maintenance.

Eglin AFB, FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.7 Million The funding was for operation and maintenance requirements.

Grand Forks AFB, ND: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Griffiss AFB (485th), NY:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.3 Million The funding supported operation and maintenance requirements.

<u>Griffiss AFB (10th), NY:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$42.0 Million
The funding was for military construction supporting the transfer of the support mission for the 10th Mountain Division to Ft. Drum as well as a small amount for operation and maintenance costs.

Hill AFB, UT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Homestead AFB (301st), FL:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.3 Million The funding supported military construction and operation and maintenance costs.

Homestead AFB (726th), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Kelly AFB, TX:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$46.7 Million The funding was for family housing construction, operation and maintenance, and environmental compliance and restoration.

<u>Lowry AFB, CO:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.4 Million The funding supported operation and maintenance requirements.

Malmstrom AFB, MT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$15.4 Million

The funding supported military construction, operation and maintenance, and other procurement costs.

McClellan AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$57.8 Million

The funding was for operation and maintenance and environmental compliance and restoration.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$93.3 Million which includes \$87.5 Million

from the City of Chicago for a request of \$5.8 Million

The funding supported operation and maintenance costs.

Onizuka AS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$10.0 Million

The funding was for operation and maintenance and other procurement costs

Ontario IAP AGS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.1 Million

The funding supported military construction and operation and maintenance costs.

**REDCAP, NY:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.3 Million

The funding was for military construction and operation and maintenance costs.

Reese AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$23.0 Million

The funding supported military construction, operation and maintenance, environmental compliance and restoration, and military personnel PCS.

Roslyn AGS, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.1 Million

The funding supported military construction, operation and maintenance, and environmental compliance and restoration requirements.

Program Management: Base Closure Account requirement: \$17.1 Million

The funding supported planning and design for military construction, operation and maintenance, and environmental requirements.

**FY 1998:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$422.9 Million of which \$30.5 Million was funded from proceeds for a request of \$392.4 Million. The 1995 Commission recommendations for Eglin and Lowry AFBs are scheduled for completion in this year. Funding is required for military construction, family housing, environmental, other procurement costs, and operation and maintenance requirements.

Bergstrom AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Eglin AFB, FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.4 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

Grand Forks AFB, ND: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (485th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (10th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$8.4 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance and environmental compliance and restoration.

Hill AFB, UT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (301st), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (726th), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

**Kelly AFB, TX:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$137.7 Million of which \$20.1 Million was funded from proceeds for a request of \$117.6 Million. The funding is for military and family housing construction, operation and maintenance, and environmental requirements.

Lowry AFB, CO: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Malmstrom AFB, MT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.1 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

McClellan AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$163.9 Million of which \$10.4 Million was funded from proceeds for a request of \$153.5 Million. The funding is for military construction, operation and maintenance, environmental, and other procurement requirements.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.4 Million The funding is for environmental requirements.

Onizuka AS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$61.1 Million
The funding is for military construction, other procurement costs, and operation and maintenance requirements.

Ontario IAP AGS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

**REDCAP, NY:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.7 Million The funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

Reese AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$28.8 Million

The funding is for military construction, operation and maintenance, and environmental requirements.

Roslyn AGS, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Program Management:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.4 Million Funding supports planning and design for military construction, operations and maintenance, and environmental requirements.

**FY 1999:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$384.5 Million of which \$3.4 Million will be funded from proceeds for a request of \$381.1 Million. The 1995 Commission recommendations for O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station are scheduled to be completed in this year. Funding is for military construction, operation and maintenance, environmental, military personnel PCS relocations, and other procurement costs.

Bergstrom AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Eglin AFB, FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Grand Forks AFB, ND: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (485th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (10th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Hill AFB, UT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (301st), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (726th), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Kelly AFB, TX:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$146.0 Million of which \$3.4 Million will be funded from proceeds for a request of \$142.6 Million. The funding is for military construction, operations and maintenance, environmental compliance and restoration, and military personnel PCS relocations.

Lowry AFB, CO: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Malmstrom AFB, MT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

McClellan AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$178.2 Million
The funding is for military construction, environmental, operations and maintenance, other procurement costs, and military personnel PCS relocations.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.4 Million Funding supports operation and maintenance and environmental costs.

Onizuka AS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$26.2 Million The funding is for operation and maintenance costs.

Ontario IAP AGS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.4 Million

REDCAP, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Reese AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.4 Million The funding supports environmental and operation and maintenance costs.

**Roslyn AGS, NY:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.1 Million The funding is for operation and maintenance, military personnel PCS and military construction requirements.

<u>Program Management:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.9 Million Funding is for planning and design associated with military construction, operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

FY 2000: Base Closure Account requirement: \$448.9 Million of which \$2.8 Million will be funded from proceeds and advance appropriations of \$122.5 Million for FY 2001 for a request of \$323.6 Million. This includes \$88.1 Million for BRAC 88, 91, and 93 requirements and \$0.6 Million which will be transferred from BRAC to Homeowners Assistance Program. The 1995 commission recommendation for Onizuka Air Station is scheduled to be completed in this year. Funding is for military construction, environmental, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

Bergstrom AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Eglin AFB, FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Grand Forks AFB, ND: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (485th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (10th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Hill AFB, UT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (301st), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (726th), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Kelly AFB, TX</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$125.1 Million of which \$2.8 Million will be funded from proceeds and advance appropriations of \$36.6 Million for FY 2001 for a request of \$85.7 Million in FY 2000. The funding is for military construction, operation and maintenance, and environmental requirements.

Lowry AFB, CO: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Malmstrom AFB, MT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

McClellan AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$105.5 Million of which \$0.1 Million will be from advance appropriations for FY 2001, and a request of \$94.4 Million in FY 2000. The funding is for operation and maintenance, environmental, military personnel PCS requirements, and to support the Homeowners Assistance Program.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.2 Million of which \$0.1 Million will be from advance appropriations for FY 2001, and a request of \$0.1 Million in FY 2000. Funding is for operations and maintenance and environmental requirements.

Onizuka AS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$12.4 Million The funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

Ontario IAP AGS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

**REDCAP, NY:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Reese AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.0 Million The funding is for operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

<u>Roslyn AGS, NY:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.6 Million The funding is for operation and maintenance and military personnel PCS requirements.

<u>Program Management</u>: Base Closure Account requirement: \$43.4 Million of which \$5.1 Million will be from advance appropriations for FY 2001, and a request of \$38.3 Million in FY 2000. Funding is for planning and design associated with military construction, operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

FY 2001: Base Closure Account requirement: \$425.4 Million of which \$2.8 Million will be funded from proceeds. This includes \$73.0 Million for BRAC 88, 91, and 93 requirements. All the closure and realignment recommendations of the 1995 Commission are scheduled to be completed. Environmental restoration will continue until completion. Funding is for operations and maintenance, military construction and military personnel PCS.

Bergstrom AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Eglin AFB, FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Grand Forks AFB, ND: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (485th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Griffiss AFB (10th), NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Hill AFB, UT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (301st), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Homestead AFB (726th), FL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

**Kelly AFB, TX:** Base Closure Account requirement: \$230.4 Million of which \$2.8 Million will be funded from proceeds. The funding is for military construction, operation and maintenance, environmental and military personnel PCS requirements.

Lowry AFB, CO: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Malmstrom AFB, MT: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

McClellan AFB, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$105.5 Million

The funding is for operation and maintenance, environmental, and military personnel PCS requirements.

O'Hare IAP ARS, IL: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.1 Million Funding is for operations and maintenance and environmental requirements.

Onizuka AS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.3 Million The funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

Ontario IAP AGS, CA: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

REDCAP, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Reese AFB, TX: Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.6 Million

The funding is for operations and maintenance and environmental requirements.

Roslyn AGS, NY: Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

<u>Program Management:</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$28.9 Million Funding is for operation and maintenance and environmental requirements.

Mission Impact: The preceding schedule was developed to minimize the impact on Air Force mission capability, while placing priority on closing or realigning the bases as recommended by the 1995 Base Closure Commission and directed by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, P.L. 101-510. It is the Air Force's objective to close and realign the recommended bases at the earliest opportunity to take advantage of savings provided by reductions to Air Force end strength, reductions to base operating support costs, and where applicable, proceeds from the sale of real property at closure bases. The Air Force topline has been reduced by the savings associated with this closure round.

Environmental Considerations: BRAC 95 installations will continue to implement remedial actions in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). These actions include landfill closures, groundwater treatments, bioventing, air sparging, underground storage tank removals and free product removal. The BRAC 95 installations will also continue long term monitoring and operations associated with previous cleanup actions. Through FY2000, approximately 70% of the funding in the environmental program will support the implementation of cleanup systems and long term monitoring and

operations. By the end of FY2000 Roslyn ANGS, NY, Reese AFB, TX, and O'Hare ARB, IL will have their last remedies in place. Completion of the environmental actions are crucial for the reuse and economic development of the affected communities. There are 8,453 acres to be transferred.

Other: Beginning in FY 2000, funding requirements for continuing actions at BRAC 88/91/93 bases are included in BRAC 95 as an add to the BRAC 95 program. Savings are included in Exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and have already been realized.

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

TOTAL

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96-01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	10 070	77.453	53 807	32 900	4.682	12.352	199,354
Military Construction	0/0,01	66+,,, 80	790	0	0	0	870
Family nousing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fuvironmental	63,808	896'09	109,071	66,887	172,809	118,931	592,474
Operation & Maintenance	30,018	96,384	250,672	280,064	209,303 1 420	58,724	1,213,223
Military Personnel - PCS	2,071	1,834	8.505	2.704	0	0	13,042
Other City of Chicago	0	87,508	0	0	0	0	87,508
TOTAL ONE -TIME COSTS	114,974	325,053	422,935	384,548	448,274	425,414	2,121,198
Revenues from Land Sales (-) (Proceeds)	0	0	(30,480)	(3,455)	(2,820)	(7,017)	(33,572)
City of Chicago Funds	- <sup>-</sup>	(onc./o) 0	0	, o	564	, 0	564
Homeowners Assistance riogiann	0	0	0	0	-122,455	122,455	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	114,974	237,545	392,455	381,093	323,563	545,052	1,994,682
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:	c	c	_	C	0	C	0
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family flousing - Operations Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	21,362	00	21,716	00	00	00	43,078 0
Other	0		21716	· c	0	С	39.481
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	11,705	>	21,710		•	•	
SAVINGS:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	770	1,676	1,676	1,541	1,541 5,948	7,204
- Operations	0 80	2,930 30,648	51,228	143,448	180,007	140,347	555,568
Operation & Maintenance Military Personnel	0,000	14,196	14,490	18,683	24,580	26,874	98,823
Other	0	-206	-206	907-	007 <del>-</del>	(263)	-20%
Civilian ES		(202) 0	(cnz)	0	0	0	0
Milliary ES  TOTAL SAVINGS	9,890	45,410	67,184	163,590	205,908	168,536	660,518
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	15.969	77.453	53,897	32,900	4,682	12,352	197,253
Family Housing - Construction	30	, 690 600 600 600 600	548	-476 - 5 781	-1,541	-1,541	-3,670 -15,756
- Operations	4,969 63,778	60,764	107,427	65,470	172,809	118,931	589,179
Environmental Operation & Maintenance	36,521	60,110	221,370	136,666	89,356	148,377	692,400
Military Personnel - PCS	2,071	-12,362	-14,490 8 505	-16,690 2,704	-23,160 206	-21,46/ 206	-86,098 13,454
Other	,00,1 0	078	0	0	564	0	564
Homeowhers Assistance Flogram Revenues from Land Sales (-)	$7,100 \\ 0$	2,696	-35,204 0	-8,253 0	-2,820 -122,455	-2,817 122,455	-39,298 0
This can y NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	119,346	186,305	345,553	216,136	111,693	370,548	1,348,028
LAMA B. Miras andreas more and a construction of the construction							

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1988 COMMISSION USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 2000	FY 2001
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	47,713	11,581
Operation & Maintenance	894	534
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE -TIME COSTS	48,607	12,115
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
Financing Entry	-19,469	8,397
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	29,138	20,512

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 2000	FY 2001
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	16,635	18,755
Operation & Maintenance	6,318	4,924
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE -TIME COSTS	22,953	23,679
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
Financing Entry	-3,037	1,148
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	19,916	24,827

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1993 COMMISSION USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 2000	FY 2001
Military Construction	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0
- Operations	0	0
Environmental	31,049	14,827
Operation & Maintenance	10,108	3,922
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0
Other	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0
TOTAL ONE -TIME COSTS	41,157	18,749
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0
Financing Entry	-2,106	8,948
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	39,051	27,697
BRAC 88/91/93 Requirements Total	88,105	73.036

### Bergstrom Air Reserve Base, Texas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/BERGSTROM AIR RESERVE BASE, TEXAS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
<b>ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>				
Military Construction	0	3,985	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	7,462	482	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	7,462	4,467	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	7,462	4,467	0	0
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	7,324	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	7,324	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,973	6,099	6,099
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(263)	(263)	(263)
Military ES	0	0	0	Ó
•	0		6.000	6,000
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	2,973	6,099	6,099
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	_		_	
Military Construction	0	3,985	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	14,786	-2,491	-6,099	-6,099
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	14,786	1,494	-6,099	-6,099

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/BERGSTROM AIR RESERVE BASE, TEXAS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLARS IN 111		TOTAL	
	FY 2000	FY 2001	TOTAL FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	112000		
Military Construction	0	0	3,985
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	7,944
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	11,929
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	0	11,929
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			•
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	7,324
Other	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	7,324
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	6,099	6,099	27,369
Military Personnel	0	0	
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(263)	(263)	(263)
Military ES	Ó	Ó	Ò
TOTAL SAVINGS	6,099	6,099	27,369
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	,	,	ŕ
Military Construction	0	0	3,985
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-6,099	-6,099	-12,101
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	_
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-6,099	-6,099	-8,116

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000/2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U.S. Air Force/Bergstrom Air Reserve Base, Texas

Closure Package: Bergstrom Air Reserve Base closed on 30 September 1997.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and have already been realized.

Eglin Air Force Base, Florida Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/EGLIN AFB, FLORIDA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,666	3,393	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	2,666	3,393	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	2,666	3,393	0
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	. 0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	_
Operation & Maintenance	42	157	59	303
Military Personnel	0			_
Other	0			
Civilian ES	0			_
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	42	157	59	303
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0		0	0
Environmental	0		0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-42	2,509	3,334	-303
Military Personnel - PCS	0		_	
Other	C	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	C	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	C	0	•	0
Financing Entry	0	0	) (	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	<b>-</b> 42	2,509	3,334	-303

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/EGLIN AFB, FLORIDA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLARS IN THE	OOSANDS)		
	EX 2000	EV 2001	TOTAL FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COCTO.	F Y 2000	F Y 2001	F 1 90 - U1
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	0	0	0
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0		0
- Operations	•	0	
Environmental	0	0	6.050
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	6,059
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other COSTS	0	0	•
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	,
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	
Financing Entry	0	0	-
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	0	6,059
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	_	0
Operation & Maintenance	450	254	1,265
Military Personnel	0	_	· ·
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(263)		(263)
Military ES	( 0	_	• •
-	450	254	1 1 265
TOTAL SAVINGS	450	234	1,265
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	C		_
Family Housing - Construction	C	) (	-
- Operations	C	) (	0
Environmental	(		0
Operation & Maintenance	-450	-254	4,794
Military Personnel - PCS	(		0 0
Other	(	) (	0 0
Homeowners Assistance Program	(	) (	0. 0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	(	) (	0 0
Financing Entry	(	) (	0 0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-450	-254	4,794

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000/2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U.S. Air Force/Eglin Air Force Base, Florida

<u>Closure Package</u>: Eglin AFB realigned on 30 September 1997. The Electromagnetic Test Environment (EMTE), consisting of eight Electronic Combat (EC) threat simulator systems, and two EC pod systems, relocated to the Nellis AFB Complex, Nevada.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and have already been realized.

### Grand Forks Air Force Base, North Dakota Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/GRAND FORKS AFB, NORTH DAKOTA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	' 0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,047	' 0	3,302	7,304
Military Personnel	C	0	0	0
Other	(	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(	0	0	0
Military ES	(	) (	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	s 1,047	7 (	3,302	7,304
	1,017	•		
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	(	) (	) (	0
Military Construction		) (		_
Family Housing - Construction		) (	_	_
- Operations		) (		
Environmental				
Operation & Maintenance	-1,04			) -7,504
Military Personnel - PCS		•	) (	
Other		=	) (	_
Homeowners Assistance Program			-	) 0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)		_	='	) 0
Financing Entry				
NET IMPLEMENTATION COST	S -1,04	7	0 -3,302	2 -7,304

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/GRAND FORKS AFB, NORTH DAKOTA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(BOLD INC III THOUGH INCO)			TOTAL
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	. 0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	. 0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	. 0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	. 0	0	. 0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	0	0
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	10,830	6,112	28,595
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(263)	0	(263)
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	10,830	6,112	28,595
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-10,830	-6,112	-28,595
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-10,830	-6,112	-28,595

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000/2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U.S. Air Force/Grand Forks Air Force Base, North Dakota

Closure Package: Grand Forks Air Force Base will realign by 30 September 1998. The 321st Missile Group will inactive and Minuteman III missiles will relocate to Malmstrom AFB, Montana, be maintained at depot facilities, or be retired. A small number of silo launchers at Grand Forks AFB may be retained, if required. Necessary funds and manpower authorizations, as previously programmed outside BRAC, will support the inactivation.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the facility. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and have already been realized.

### Griffiss Air Force Base 485th Engineering Installation, New York Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, 485TH ENGINEERING INSTALLATION GROUP, NEW YORK (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	998	266	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	998	266	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	998	266	0	0
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2,746	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	2,746	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	21	183	69	109
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	21	183	69	109
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>				
Military Construction	0	. 0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	3,723	83	-69	-109
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	3,723	83	-69	-109

24 EXHIBIT BC-02

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, 485TH ENGINEERING INSTALLATION GROUP, NEW YORK (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLDARS IN 111	OUGANDO)		TOTAL	
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01	
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	
- Operations	0	0	0	
Environmental	0	. 0	0	
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	1,264	
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	1,264	
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	
Financing Entry	0	0	0	
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	0	1,264	
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	
Environmental	0	0	0	
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	2,746	
Other	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	2,746	
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	
- Operations	0	0	0	
Operation & Maintenance	161	90	633	
Military Personnel	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	
Civilian ES	0	0	0	
Military ES	0	0	0	
TOTAL SAVINGS	161	90	633	
	101	70	033	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	0	0	0	
Military Construction	0		0	
Family Housing - Construction	-	0	0	
- Operations	0	0	-	
Environmental	0	0	_	
Operation & Maintenance	-161	-90	•	
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0		
Other	0	0	_	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0		
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0		
Financing Entry	0	0	ŭ	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-161	-90	3,377	

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000/2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U.S. Air Force/Griffiss Air Force Base 485th Engineering Installation, New York

<u>Closure Package</u>: Griffiss Air Force Base 485th Engineering Installation was inactivated in FY 1995 and transferred its engineering and installation functions.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenue from Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and have already been realized.

### Griffiss Airfield Support 10th Inf Light Division, New York Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, AIRFIELD SPT FOR 10TH INF LIGHT DIV, NEW YORK (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
<b>ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>	·			
Military Construction	0	41,761	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	8,235	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	265	200	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	42,026	8,435	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	42,026	8,435	0
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	2	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	9,225
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	(15)	(15)
Military ES	0	0	Ò	Ò
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	9,225
	U	U	U	7,223
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	0	41 761	0	0
Military Construction	0	41,761	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	9 225	0
Environmental	0	0	8,235	0 225
Operation & Maintenance	2	265	200	-9,225
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	2	42,026	8,435	-9,225

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/GRIFFISS AFB, AIRFIELD SPT FOR 10TH INF LIGHT DIV, NEW YORK (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLARS IN 1H	OUSANDS)		
		TT 7 0004	TOTAL
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	_		
Military Construction	0	0	41,761
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	8,235
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	465
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	50,461
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	0	50,461
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	2
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	2
CANTINCC.			
SAVINGS:	0	0	0
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	9,225	9,225	_
Operation & Maintenance	9,223	0,223	_
Military Personnel Other	0	0	_
Civilian ES	(15)	(15)	=
Military ES	0	0	* *
•	_	_	•
TOTAL SAVINGS	9,225	9,225	27,675
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	41,761
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	8,235
Operation & Maintenance	-9,225	-9,225	-27,208
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-9,225	-9,225	22,788
TEL INI LEMENTATION COSTS	7,223	ر کے سے و	22,700

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000/2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

### U.S. Air Force/Griffiss Airfield Support 10th Inf Light Division, New York

<u>Closure Package</u>: Griffiss Airfield Support 10th Inf Light Division will close the minimum essential airfield and provide mobility/contingency/training support to the 10th Infantry (Light) Division from the Fort Drum, NY Airfield through FY 1998.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues from Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in BC-02 for display purposes only, and have already been realized.

### Hill Air Force Base Test and Training Range, Utah Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

31

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/HILL AFB, UTAH TEST AND TRAINING RANGE, UTAH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

•	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	42	21	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	42	21	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	42	21	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	13	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	13	0	0	0
SAVINGS:	0	0	0	0
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction - Operations	0	0	0	0
	15	102	49	78
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(6)	(6)	
	0	(0)	0	0
Military ES	_		_	•
TOTAL SAVINGS	15	102	49	78
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	40	-81	-49	-78
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	40	-81	-49	-78

32 EXHIBIT BC-02

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/HILL AFB, UTAH TEST AND TRAINING RANGE, UTAH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DULLARS IN TH	OUSANDS)		
	EST 0000	TX 2001	TOTAL
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
<b>ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>		•	0
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	63
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	63
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	0	63
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	13
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	13
SAVINGS:	0	0	0
Military Construction	0	0	
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0 116	66	*
Operation & Maintenance		_	_
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	_	•	-
Civilian ES	(6)	(6)	
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	116	66	426
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-116	-66	-350
Military Personnel - PCS	0	_	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-116	-66	-350
MET INITIALIATION COSTS	-110	-00	* *330

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000/2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U.S. Air Force/Hill Air Force Base Test and Training Range, Utah

<u>Closure Package</u>: Hill Air Force Base Test and Training Range realigned on 30 September 1997. The Utah Test and Training Range will be disestablished. Some armament/weapons Test and Evaluation (T&E) workload will be transferred to the Air Force Development Test Center (AFDTC), Eglin AFB, Florida, and the Air Force Flight Test Center (AFFTC), Edwards AFB, California.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

### Homestead Air Force Base 301st Rescue Squadron, Florida Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, 301ST RESCUE SQUADRON, FLORIDA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	7,059	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	250	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	7,309	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	7,309	0	0
	U	7,507	v	v
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:	0	•	0	•
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	38	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	38	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	83	83	83
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(6)	(6)	(6)
Military ES	0	0	0	Ó
•			-	-
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	83	83	. 83
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	7,059	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	38	167	-83	-83
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	
Financing Entry	ő	0	0	0
	·		· ·	·
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	38	7,226	-83	-83

36

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, 301ST RESCUE SQUADRON, FLORIDA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	7,059
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	250
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	7,309
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	0	7,309
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			•
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	38
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	38
	U	v	50
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	83	83	415
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	•
Civilian ES	(6)	(6)	
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	83	83	415
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	7,059
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-83	-83	-127
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-83	-83	6,932
NET INITLEMENTATION COSTS	-63	-03	0,732

#### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000/2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

### U.S. Air Force/Homestead Air Force Base 301st Rescue Squadron, Florida

<u>Closure Package</u>: Homestead Air Force Base 301st Rescue Squadron was redirected to Patrick AFB, Florida in FY 1997.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

### Homestead Air Force Base 726th Air Control Squadron, Florida Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, 726th AIR CONTROL SQUADRON, FLORIDA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	818	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	311	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,129	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	. 0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	1,129	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	112	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	112	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	44	0	180	285
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	(6)
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	44	0	180	285
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	886	0	-180	-285
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	311	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1,197	0	-180	-285

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/HOMESTEAD AFB, 726th AIR CONTROL SQUADRON, FLORIDA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLARS IN TH	OUSANDS)		
			TOTAL
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
<b>ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	818
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	311
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	1,129
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	0	1,129
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	112
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	112
	v	Ŭ	112
SAVINGS:	0	•	0
Military Construction	0	0	_
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	425	241	1,175
Military Personnel	0	0	_
Other	0	0	-
Civilian ES	(6)	(6)	* :
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	425	241	1,175
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-425	-241	-245
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	311
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-425	-241	66

#### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000/2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force/Homestead Air Force Base 726th Air Control Squadron, Florida

<u>Closure Package</u>: Homestead Air Force Base 726th Air Control Squadron was redirected to Mt. Home AFB, Idaho, in FY 1997.

### One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

42

Kelly Air Force Base, Texas Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/KELLY AFB, TEXAS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	14,901	19,800
Family Housing - Construction	0	80	790	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	18,610	23,433	29,749	17,233
Operation & Maintenance	6,047	23,146	92,261	108,751
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	233
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	24,657	46,659	137,701	146,017
Revenues from Land Sales (-) (Proceeds)	0	0	(20,080)	(3,455)
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	24,657	46,659	117,621	142,562
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2,067	0	3,839	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	2,067	0	3,839	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	6,000	40,900
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	6,000	40,900
	v	v	0,000	10,500
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	0	0	14.001	10 000
Military Construction	0	0	,	19,800
Family Housing - Construction	0	80		
- Operations	19.610	0 22 422		_
Environmental	18,610			
Operation & Maintenance	8,114		_	
Military Personnel - PCS	0			
Other	0			0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0		-	-
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0		•	
Financing Entry	•			_
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	26,724	46,659	115,460	101,662

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/KELLY AFB, TEXAS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLARS IN THOUSAND			TOTAL
	FY 2000	FV 2001	TOTAL FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	11 2000	11 2001	1170 01
Military Construction	4,417	12,255	51,373
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	870
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	18,822	11,911	119,758
Operation & Maintenance	101,399	204,416	•
Military Personnel - PCS	470	1,859	•
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	125,108	230,441	710,583
Revenues from Land Sales (-) (Proceeds)	(2,820)	•	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	O O
Financing Entry	-36,567	35,757	(810)
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	85,721	263,381	680,601
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:	,	,	ĺ
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	
Other	0	0	-
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	5,906
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	43,200	•	133,300
Military Personnel	0	0	_
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(536)	(536)
Military ES	0	(173)	•
TOTAL SAVINGS	43,200		
	43,200	13,200	133,300
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	4,417	12,255	51,373
Military Construction	4,417		
Family Housing - Construction	0		
- Operations Environmental	18,822	-	
Operation & Maintenance	58,199	•	-
<u> </u>	470		•
Military Personnel - PCS Other	0		
Homeowners Assistance Program	0		-
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	-2,820		
Financing Entry	-36,567		
-	•	•	, ,
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	42,521	220,181	553,207

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force Depot/Kelly Air Force Base, Texas

<u>Closure Package</u>: Kelly Air Force Base will realign by 13 Jul 2001. Consolidate workload to other DoD depots or to private sector commercial activities, as determined by the Defense Depot Maintenance Council.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

#### Military Construction:

			Auth	Appn
		Fiscal Year	Amount	Amount
State/Installation	Project Title/#	of Award	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
Texas/Kelly AFB	Alter Base Maintenance Shop/MBPB993217F	2000	820	784
Texas/Kelly AFB	Alter Communication Facility/MBPB9932211	R1 2000	750	712
Texas/Lackland AFB	ADAL Base Engineer Facility/MBLS003105	2000	3,100	2,956
Various Locations	Supervision, Inspection, and Overhead Progra	am 2000	0	-35
Total 2000			4,670	4,417

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction/ Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$101.4 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance (O&M) supports civilian personnel costs associated with closing the ALC and the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA). These civilian costs include annual leave, separation pay, severance pay, civilian unemployment and civilian PCS. The realignment and closure also requires moving costs and the "transportation of things" for AFMC, DLA and other tenants. Air Force Base Conversion Agency has O&M costs associated with maintaining an operating location including utilities and rents, civilian pay, and travel.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: \$2.8 Million. Proceeds are generated by land sales and leases from other BRAC actions. The proceeds will be used to offset requirements for this realignment.

Environmental: \$18.8 Million. Environmental investigations have identified 52 sites and three Areas of Concern at the installation. Site types include landfills, former fire training areas, radioactive waste disposal sites, Underground Storage Tanks, aircraft maintenance areas, sludge lagoons, and sludge drying beds. Metals, volatile organic compounds, and semi-volatile organic compounds have affected groundwater and soil at the installation. Low-level radioactive waste has contaminated soil. Environmental funds will be used for the installation of cleanup systems, studies, and the operation, maintenance and monitoring of existing systems for soil and groundwater. Final cleanup systems are expected to be completed by the end of FY2001.

### Kelly AFB, Texas Package

FY 2000 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

Ī	1. COMPONENT				2.	DATE	
	FY 2000 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	N PRO	JECT DATA	.		İ	
_	AIR FORCE (computer generat	ed)			<u>Fe</u>	b 1999	
_	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4.	PRO	JECT TITLE	† 		1	
	BA	SE CI	LOSURE-ALT	ER BA	\SE		
			NANCE SHOP				
	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJEC	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT   6. CATEGORY CODE   7. PROJECT NUMBER   8. PROJECT COST (\$000)					
			App	_		784	
	8.57.96 219-944 MBPB99	3217	R1 (Lut)	<u>n:</u>		820	
	9. COST ESTIMATE	<u>s</u>					
				UNI	- !	COST	
	ITEM	TA/W	QUANTITY	COST	<u> </u>	(\$000)	
	BASE CLOSURE-ALTER BASE MAINTENANCE SHOP		! !		ļ	532	
	ALTER ADMIN AREA FOR NEW TENANTS	SM	135		941	(127)	
	ALTER WAREHOUSE AREAS	LS	!!!	! •	ļ	(405)	
	SUPPORTING FACILITIES		!	!	ļ	175	
	UTIL/SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	]	ł		(55)	
	COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS	•	ļ	ļ	( 25)	
	PAVEMENT	LS		!	ļ	( <u>95</u> )	
	SUBTOTAL			!		707	
	CONTINGENCY (10%)		ļ	!		71	
	TOTAL CONTRACT COST		1			778	
	SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)		1	1		822	
	TOTAL REQUEST		ļ	Į t		822   820	
	TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	1		ļ		j 820	
		1		ļ I		[ 1	
			1	1		<u> </u>	
		-	1	į		1	
		ļ .		1		1	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior alterations to include relocation and construction of interior non-loadbearing walls and replacement of interior finishes. Space includes: admin and warehouse storage in buildings 1530 and 1533.

Air Conditioning: 25 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Alters administrative and warehouse areas for new tenants.

REQUIREMENT: Because of the realignment of Kelly AFB, alteration of existing warehouse and administrative space is required to support the relocation of several functions from portions of Kelly AFB which will be excessed. This project will provide an adequate facility with properly configured administrative space, warehouse areas and equipment checkout space to support the new tenants.

CURRENT SITUATION: Several base functions that must be retained are currently located on a portion of Kelly AFB that will be excessed IAW the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission. There is existing warehouse space on a portion of Kelly AFB being annexed by Lackland AFB that can be readily modified to support these relocated functions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Several base organizations will be unable to provide support to the retained portions of Kelly AFB because they will not have a facility out of which to operate.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.
|There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of the Military
|Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." A preliminary
|analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (alteration

. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 2000 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	   Feb 1999
IR FORCE	(computer generated)	100 100
. INSTALLAT	TON AND LOCATION	
	RCE BASE, TEXAS	
. PROJECT 1	TITLE 5. P	ROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE	-ALTER BASE MAINTENANCE SHOP M	BPB993217R1
or new const acility was	cruction) was done. It indicated that alteration os significantly less expensive than new construction of the construction of	n. BASE costs are
12. SUPPLE	MENTAL DATA:	
a. Estim	ated Design Data:	
	Status:	97 NOV 15
(	a) Date Design Started	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(	b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	100%
	c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1999	98 JAN 01
	d) Date 35% Designed.	98 DEC 01
-	<ul><li>e) Date Design Complete</li><li>f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be per</li></ul>	
ν-,	Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
   (3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
(3)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	37
1	i i	37
1	(0)	37
1	(4)	
 	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	99 DEC
(5)	Construction Completion	00
  b. Equipm	ent associated with this project will be provided for opriations: N/A	from
ĺ		
1		
1		
į		
İ		
İ		
i		
i		
i		

1. COMPONENT						- 1	2. 1	DATE
FY 2000 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA								
AIR FORCE		(computer	generate	<u>d)</u>			Feb	1999
3. INSTALLAT	ION ANI	LOCATION	4.	PROJ	ECT TITLE	<b>:</b>		]
İ	BASE CLOSURE- ALTER							
KELLY AIR FO					CATIONS I			
5. PROGRAM E	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECI	' NUN			CT C	OST (\$000)
İ	Appro						712	
8.57.96		131-111	MBPB993	221F	21   Aut	h:		750
9. COST ESTIMATES								
						UNI	- !	COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COS'	T	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE	- ALTE	R COMMUNICATIONS				•	į	
FACILITY 162	3			LS		l		592
COMMUNICAT	IONS A	DMINISTRATION		SM	1,907	l	146	(278)
COMMUNICAT	IONS-M	AINTENANCE		SM	469	!	574	(269)
RESTORE EX	ISTING	BUILDING		LS		ļ	1	(45)
SUPPORTING F	'ACILIT	TIES		ļ	!	ļ		50
UTILITIES				LS	ļ	ļ		( 15)
COMMUNICAT	CIONS S	UPPORT		LS	ļ	!		( 15)
PAVEMENTS				LS				(5)
SITE IMPRO	VEMENT	.s		LS		ļ		(_15)
SUBTOTAL					ļ			642
CONTINGENCY	(10%)			1	!			64
TOTAL CONTRA				1	1			706
SUPERVISION	SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)			ļ				40
TOTAL REQUEST				ļ				746
TOTAL REQUE	ST (RO	INDED)		ļ	ļ			750
EQUIPMENT F	ROM OTI	HER APPROPRIATIONS	(NON-ADD)	1	ļ			(670)
İ					1			

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior alterations to include removal of non-loadbearing walls and replacement of interior finishes and utilities. Includes space to accommodate the telephone maintenance shop and office space.

11. REQUIREMENT: 475 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 475 SM PROJECT: Alter facility for communications maintenance.

REQUIREMENT: Because of the realignment of Kelly AFB, alteration is required to support the relocation of telephone maintenance function from East Kelly areas that will be excessed. An adequate facility to provide office space and maintenance shops is required.

CURRENT SITUATION: The telephone maintenance shop, which supports both Lackland and Kelly AFBs, is currently located on a portion of Kelly AFB that will be excessed IAW the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission. A suitable facility that can be altered is available on the portions of Kelly AFB that will be annexed by Lackland AFB to support this requirement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base communications squadron will be unable to provide telephone maintenance support to Lackland AFB and the retained portions of Kelly AFB, resulting in adverse impacts to the day to day operations of both bases.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.

There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of the Military

Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." Because the existing

facility has such large hallways and large rooms, useable space will be

more than normal in order to avoid removing "load bearing" walls. BASE

|CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Larry Brittenham (210) 671-2977/78. SIOH costs are

annualized in accordance with the current outlay rates experienced by the

				2. DATE
1. COMPONENT	•	MARY CONCERNICETON DE	;	Z. DAIE
		TARY CONSTRUCTION PR	OUECI DATA	Feb 1999
AIR FORCE	ION AND LOCATION	computer generated)		100 1000
3. INSTALLAT	TON AND LOCATION			
	RCE BASE, TEXAS	<u> </u>		
4. PROJECT T	:ITLE		5. PRO	JECT NUMBER
			1	PB993221R1
BASE CLOSURE	E- ALTER COMMUNICA	TIONS FACILITY	MBI	/B993221R1
Air Force.				<u> </u>
12. SUPPLEM	MENTAL DATA:			
	ated Design Data:			
	•			
, , , ,	Status:			
(:	a) Date Design S	tarted		98 JUL 01
	b) Parametric Co	st Estimates used to	develop costs	N   50%
		ete as of Jan 1999		98 NOV 01
	d) Date 35% Desi			98 NOV 01   99 MAY 01
	e) Date Design C	omplete	······································	
1		Life-Cycle analysis	was/will be ber	Tormed
	Basis:	. Similaro Dogico		
- I	a) Standard or D	efinitive Design -	ed -	
1	b) Where Design	Was Most Recently Us (a) + (b) or (d) + (	eu -	(\$000)
(3)	Total Cost (c) =	Plans and Specifica	tions	50
	(b) All Other Des	im Costs	.010	25
	(c) Total	Tan copes		75
	(d) Contract			60
	(e) In-house			15
	Construction Star	r <del>t</del>		99 NOV
	Construction Comp			00
(3)	CONSCIUCTION COM			
b. Equipme	ent associated wit	th this project will	be provided from	om
other appro	opriations:			
i			FISCAL YEAR	COST
<b>i</b> :	EQUIPMENT	PROCURING	APPROPRIATED	(\$000)
N	OMENCLATURE	APPROPRIATION	OR REQUESTED	• •
S	witch Gear	-		\$370,000
	aster Computer			\$300,000
	-			
[				
<b>!</b>				
i I				
1				
1				
:				

	····				
1. COMPONENT			2.	DATE	
FY 2000 MILITARY CONSTRUCT	FY 2000 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				
AIR FORCE (computer gener	Fe	b 1999			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PRO	JECT TITLE	! !		
	BASE C	LOSURE-ADD	/ALTER 1	Base	
	LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS ENGINEER FACILITY				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT   6. CATEGORY CODE   7. PROJ	ECT NU	•			
				2,956	
8.57.96   610-127   MPLS	003105	Aut	h:	3,100	
9. COST ESTIMA	TES				
			UNIT	COST	
ITEM	ע/ש ן	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ADD/ALTER BASE ENGINEER	ļ				
FACILITY	LS			2,063	
BASE ENGINEER ADMINISTRATION ADDITION	SM	1,490	·	(1,907)	
BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE ALTERATION	SM	288	542		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	ļ	ļ		730	
UTILITIES	LS	1		( 140)	
PAVEMENTS	LS	!		( 190)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( 125)	
EMCS/COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS	1	<u> </u>	(_275)	
SUBTOTAL	ļ	!		2,793	
CONTINGENCY (5%)	!		1	140	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	ļ	1	ļ	2,933	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%	· Į	!		167	
TOTAL REQUEST	ļ	!	1	3,100	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	ļ			3,100	
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-A	ן (סכ	ļ	1	(430)	
	1	1		1	
			1		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Modify, upgrade and repair interior walls, finish, HVAC and electrical systems. Construct exterior walls, finish, and utility systems as required. Concrete foundation and floor slab, structural steel framing, masonry walls, and standing seam metal roof. Includes admin offices, maintenance shop, mechanical and comm rooms, fire protection, parking, and necessary site improvements.

Air Conditioning: 10 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 3,617 SM ADEQUATE: 1,839 SM SUBSTANDARD: 288 SM PROJECT: Adds to and alters administrative and shop areas in the 37th Civil Engineer Squadron for realigned CE personnel(bldg 5595).

| REQUIREMENT: Due to the realignment of Kelly AFB, alteration of and an addition to building 5595 are required to support the relocation of sever functions from portions of Kelly AFB that will be excessed. This project will provide adequate facilities with properly configured administrative space, warehouse areas and CE shop space to support the expanded mission of the 37th Civil Engineering Squadron.

| CURRENT SITUATION: The current civil engineer complex at Kelly AFB is located on land that will be excessed IAW the recommendations of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission. Since many functions currently on Kelly AFB will remain and become the support responsibility of Lackland AFB, the mission of the Lackland Civil Engineer Squadron will increase significantly.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Lackland 37th CES will be unable to provide adequate civil engineering support due to the increased responsibility resulting from the Kelly AFB realignment, thus jeapordizing their mission accomplishment.

1. COMPONENT	•			2. DATE
	FY 2000 MIL	ITARY CONSTRUCTION PI	ROJECT DATA	Feb 1999
IR FORCE	TION AND LOCATION	(computer generated)		red 1999
. INSTALLA	FION AND LOCATION			
	R FORCE BASE TEXA	<u>s</u>	le pro	TROM MACRE
. PROJECT :	FITLE		js. PRO	JECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSUR	E-ADD/ALTER BASE	ENGINEER FACILITY	ј мрі	S003105
There is no Handbook 11 Lt Col Larr	criteria/scope f 90, "Facility Pla y Brittenham (210	e provided from the for this project in Punning and Design Gui 6) 671-2977/78. SIOH outlay rates experie	art II of Milita de." BASE CIVIL costs are annua	ary ENGINEER: alized in
12. SUPPLE	MENTAL DATA: ated Design Data:			
(1)	Status:			
, , , , ,	a) Date Design S	Started		97 DEC 01
		ost Estimates used to	develop costs	N
(	(c) Percent Comp	lete as of Jan 1999		75%
(	(d) Date 35% Des:	igned.		98 AUG 01
(	(e) Date Design (	Complete		99 MAR 01
(	(f) Energy Study	/Life-Cycle analysis	was/will be per	formed
(2)	Basis:			
(	(a) Standard or !	Definitive Design -		
1	(b) Where Design	Was Most Recently Us	sed -	
(3)	Total Cost (c) =	(a) + (b) or (d) +	(e):	(\$000
•	(a) Production o	f Plans and Specifica	ations	150
	(b) All Other De			· 60
	(c) Total	_		210
	(d) Contract			150
	(e) In-house			60
	Construction Sta	rt		99 DEC
	Construction Com			00
		th this project will	be provided fro	т
other appr	opriations:		FISCAL YEAR	
	DOLLE DATE NO.	PROCURING	APPROPRIATED	COST
N	EQUIPMENT OMENCLATURE	APPROPRIATION	OR REQUESTED	(\$000) \$430,000
l F	ree Standing Work	-		
İ				
i				
i				
i				
i				
1				
į				
i				

1.	COMPONENT							2	. DATE
		FY	2000 MILITARY C				CT DATA	. !,	Feb 1000
	R FORCE			er gene			r TITLE		Feb 1999
١٤٠	INSTALLAT	COM AND	LOCATION		•				N, AND
I VA	RIOUS LOCA	TIONS		•	,		(SIOH)		ar, and
			6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO				ROJECI	COST (\$000)
İ		j		İ			Auth		
<u></u>	9.12.11	1	011-111		01RD4		Appr	op:	<u>-35</u> į
<u></u>			9. COS	T ESTIM	ATES			TOTTO	COST
			Tmr34		l Itt	/MIOT	ANTITY	UNIT	(\$000)
107	MOTESTAGE	TNEBEC	ITEM TION, AND OVERHE	מבי	10/	1	MITTI	<u> </u>	(3000)
•	SIOH)	THOSEC	IION, AND CVIICI	40	L	s			-35
1 '	BTOTAL				i	i	,		j <b>-</b> 35 j
	TAL CONTRA	CT COST	•		Ī				-35
	TAL REQUES				ļ	!			-35
TO	OTAL REQUES	T (ROUN	IDED)		ļ				-35
					ļ	ļ		 	
					1	1		1	
-					ļ			į	i
1					i	İ		į	j
					İ	Ì			
İ					!	!		ļ	
İ					1				
					į į	1		ţ	
					1	1		1	
						ļ		<u> </u>	
11	.O. Descri	ption o	f Proposed Const	ruction	: The	func	ds requ	ested	will be
i.	used to fir	nance ti	he supervision.	Inspect:	ion, a	and O	verhead	(SIOH	)
- [	associated	with A	ir Force Militar	y Const	ructio	יתב /תכ	Arcouwe	ntal f	unded
	projects w	hich wi	ll be executed i	n Budget	t Acti	vity	4.		! }
-									İ
1	1 PEOUTO	ement.	As required.			t-			
	L1. REQUIR PROJECT:	THEN L	wa redunces.	-					
13	-100101			-					
1				-					1
i									!
-									
1									
}									
1									
İ									•
i			÷						1
ļ									
ļ					•				
	! 					•			
	į								
	i								

#### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force Depot/Kelly Air Force Base, Texas

<u>Closure Package</u>: Kelly Air Force Base will realign by 13 Jul 2001. Consolidate workload to other DoD depots or to private sector commercial activities, as determined by the Defense Depot Maintenance Council.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

#### Military Construction:

			Auth	Appn
State/Installation	Project Title/#	Fiscal Year of Award	Amount (\$000)	Amount (\$000)
Texas/Ft Sam Houston	DRMO Complex/CNBC999991	2001	12,800	12,255
Total 2001			12,800	12,255

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction/ Operations: N/A.

<u>Military Personnel - PCS</u>: \$1.9 Million. Funding supports Military personnel permanent change of station costs associated with closing the Air Logistics Center (ALC).

Operation and Maintenance: \$204.4 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance (O&M) supports civilian personnel costs associated with closing the ALC and the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA). These civilian costs include annual leave, separation pay, severance pay, civilian unemployment and civilian PCS. The realignment and closure also requires moving costs and the "transportation of things" for AFMC, DLA and other tenants. Air Force Base Conversion Agency has O&M costs associated with maintaining an operating location including utilities and rents, civilian pay, and travel.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: \$2.8 Million. Proceeds are generated by land sales and leases from other BRAC actions. The proceeds will be used to offset requirements for this realignment.

Environmental: \$11.9 Million. Environmental investigations have identified 52 sites and three Areas of Concern at the installation. Site types include landfills, former fire training areas, radioactive waste disposal sites, Underground Storage Tanks, aircraft maintenance areas, sludge lagoons, and sludge drying beds. Metals, volatile organic compounds, and semi-volatile organic compounds have affected groundwater and soil at the installation. Low-level radioactive waste has contaminated soil. Environmental funds will be used for the installation of cleanup systems, studies, and the operation, maintenance and monitoring of existing systems for soil and groundwater. Final cleanup systems are expected to be completed by the end of FY 2001.

### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### Kelly AFB, Texas Package

FY 2001 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT			I	2. DATE		
1	FY 2001 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA	İ		
AIR FORCE	(compute	r generated)	i	Feb 1999		
3. INSTALLATION AN	ND LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE			
FT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS BASE CLOSURE-DRMO COMPLEX						
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	r 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJEC Approp:	T COST(\$000)		
8.57.96	442-000	CNBC999991	Auth:	12,800		
	9. COST	ESTIMATES				
			דידותו	' I COST I		

			UNIT	COST
. ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-DRMO COMPLEX	LS			9,849
CONVERT BLDG 4195 WAREHOUSE	SM	11,560	550	(6,358)
CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITY	SM	930	960	( 893)
ADMINISTRATIVE	SM	1,300	1,000	( 1,300)
OPEN STORAGE	SM	28,400	30	( 852)
SCRAP BINS/SCALE/ENCLOSED STORAGE	SM	9,900	45	( 446)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,730
UTILITIES/COMMUNICATIONS	LS			( 690)
PAVEMENTS	LS			( 585)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			( <u>455</u> )
SUBTOTAL		[ ]		11,579
CONTINGENCY (5%)				579
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1			12,158
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				<u>693</u>
TOTAL REQUEST				12,851
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				12,800
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)	1	1		(113)
1				ll

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construction to be reinforced concrete footings/foundation, metal building frame, asphaltic and concrete paving, and all necessary support.

Air Conditioning: 10 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Construct a Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office (DRMO).

REQUIREMENT: The BRAC 95 Base Closure Commission directed that Kelly AFB be realigned. New facilities must be constructed to replace those existing at Kelly AFB.

CURRENT SITUATION: The current DRMO exists in facilities and land that will be transfered to other private organizations under the privatization in place concept. Military installations and other Federal generators in the greater San Antonio area are Fort Sam Houston, Lackland AFB, Randolph AFB, Brooks AFB, and 750 other small areas. Fort Sam Houston has a surplus of warehouse space but a deficit of adequate space. DRMO in San Antonio is the only reutilization and marketing service in the area. The next nearest complex is located at Fort Hood, 150 miles north.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The realignment of DRMO cannot occur unless these facilities are constructed.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Larry Brittenham (210) 671-2977/78. SIOH costs are annualized in accordance with the current outlay rates experienced by the Air Force.

Computer generated)  INTRALLATION AND LOCATION  TO SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS  INTRALATION AND LOCATION  COMPLEX  CONBC999991  CONBC9999991  CONBC99999991  CONBC9PIATED  CONBC9PIATED  CONBCPORTATED  CONBCPORTATED  CONBCPORTATED  CONBCRETATION  CONBC	(computer generated)  ATION AND LOCATION  STON, TEXAS  TITLE  SETURNO COMPLEX  EMENTAL DATA: mated Design Data:  Status:  (a) Date Design Started  (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs  (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000  (d) Date 35% Designed.  (e) Date Design Complete  (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications  (b) All Other Design Costs  (c) Total  (d) Contract  (e) In-house  Construction Start  Construction Start  Construction Completion  PROCURING APPROPRIATED  (COST  (COST)		NT			2. DATE
IR FORCE (Computer generated)  INSTALLATION AND LOCATION  T SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS  PROJECT TITLE  ASE CLOSURE-DRMO COMPLEX  CNBC999991  2. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:  a. Estimated Design Data:  (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start (5) Construction Completion  D. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED	Computer generated   Camputer generated		FY 20			Feb 1999
T SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS  PROJECT TITLE  ASE CLOSURE-DRMO COMPLEX  CNBC999991  2. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:  a. Estimated Design Data:  (1) Status:  (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start (5) Construction Completion  D. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED	TITLE   5. PROJECT NUMBER  RE-DRMO COMPLEX   CNBC999991  EMENTAL DATA: mated Design Data:  Status:  (a) Date Design Started   99 FEB 01  (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs   N  (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000   35%  (d) Date 35% Designed.   99 DEC 01  (e) Date Design Complete   00 DEC 01  (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications   780  (b) All Other Design Costs   120  (c) Total   900  (d) Contract   800  (e) In-house   1000  Construction Start   00 JAN  Construction Completion   00  went associated with this project will be provided from operiations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT   PROCURING APPROPRIATED   COST  COMENCLATURE   APPROPRIATION   OR REQUESTED   (\$000)				:ed)	<u> </u>
PROJECT TITLE  ASE CLOSURE-DRMO COMPLEX  CNBC999991  2. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:  a. Estimated Design Data:  (1) Status:  (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start (5) Construction Completion  2. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED	### TITLE   5. PROJECT NUMBER   CNBC999991  ###############################	. INSTALL	ATION AND LO	CATION		
ASE CLOSURE-DRMO COMPLEX  2. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:  a. Estimated Design Data:  (1) Status:  (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start (5) Construction Start (6) In-house (6) Construction Completion (6) Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED	EMENTAL DATA: mated Design Data:  Status:  (a) Date Design Started 99 FEB 01  (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs N  (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 35%  (d) Date 35% Designed. 99 DEC 01  (e) Date Design Complete 00 DEC 01  (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780  (b) All Other Design Costs 120  (c) Total 900  (d) Contract 900  (e) In-house 100  Construction Start 00 JAN  Construction Completion 00  Ment associated with this project will be provided from opriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST					
a. Estimated Design Data:  (1) Status:  (a) Date Design Started 99 FEB (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 (d) Date 35% Designed. 99 DEC (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$ (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 00 (5) Construction Completion 00  2. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED CO	EMENTAL DATA: mated Design Data:  Status:  (a) Date Design Started 99 FEB 01  (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs N  (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 35%  (d) Date 35% Designed. 99 DEC 01  (e) Date Design Complete 000 DEC 01  (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780  (b) All Other Design Costs 120  (c) Total 900  (d) Contract 800  (e) In-house 100  Construction Start 00 JAN  Construction Completion 00  Dent associated with this project will be provided from opriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST  COMENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)	. PROJECT	'TITLE		5. PI	ROJECT NUMBER
a. Estimated Design Data:  (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started 99 FEB (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 (d) Date 35% Designed. 99 DEC (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$ (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 00 (5) Construction Completion 00  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED CO	EMENTAL DATA: mated Design Data:  Status:  (a) Date Design Started 99 FEB 01  (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs N  (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 35%  (d) Date 35% Designed. 99 DEC 01  (e) Date Design Complete 000 DEC 01  (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780  (b) All Other Design Costs 120  (c) Total 900  (d) Contract 800  (e) In-house 100  Construction Start 00 JAN  Construction Completion 00  Dent associated with this project will be provided from opriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST  COMENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)	BASE CLOSU	RE-DRMO COMP	LEX	l cr	NBC999991
a. Estimated Design Data:  (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start (5) Construction Completion  O. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED	Status:  (a) Date Design Started 99 FEB 01  (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs N  (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 35%  (d) Date 35% Designed. 99 DEC 01  (e) Date Design Complete 000 DEC 01  (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780  (b) All Other Design Costs 120  (c) Total 900  (d) Contract 800  (e) In-house 100  Construction Start 00 JAN  Construction Completion 00  ment associated with this project will be provided from opriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST  COMENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)					
(1) Status:  (a) Date Design Started  (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs  (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000  (d) Date 35% Designed.  (e) Date Design Complete  (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  (2) Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications  (b) All Other Design Costs  (c) Total  (d) Contract  (e) In-house  (4) Construction Start  (5) Construction Completion  (5) Construction Completion  (6) Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED	Status:  (a) Date Design Started 99 FEB 01  (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs N  (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 35%  (d) Date 35% Designed. 99 DEC 01  (e) Date Design Complete 000 DEC 01  (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780  (b) All Other Design Costs 120  (c) Total 900  (d) Contract 900  (e) In-house 100  Construction Start 00 JAN  Construction Completion 00  Ment associated with this project will be provided from opriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST  COMENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)					
(a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start (5) Construction Completion  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED	(a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 35% (d) Date 35% Designed. (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780 (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house Construction Start Construction Completion  Tent associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST COMENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (5000)	a. Esti	mated Design	Data:		
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000 (d) Date 35% Designed. 99 DEC (e) Date Design Complete 00 DEC (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$ (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 00 (5) Construction Completion 00  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED CO	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000  (d) Date 35% Designed.  (e) Date Design Complete  (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house  Construction Start  Construction Completion  Dent associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT  PROCURING APPROPRIATED  COST  COMENCLATURE  APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED  (\$000  100  100  100  100  100  100  1	(1)	Status:			
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000  (d) Date 35% Designed. 99 DEC  (e) Date Design Complete 00 DEC  (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  (2) Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications  (b) All Other Design Costs  (c) Total  (d) Contract  (e) In-house  (4) Construction Start 00  (5) Construction Completion 00  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED CO	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2000  (d) Date 35% Designed.  (e) Date Design Complete  (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):  (s) All Other Design Costs  (c) Total  (d) Contract  (e) In-house  Construction Start  Construction Completion  Dent associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT  PROCURING APPROPRIATED  COST  COMENCLATURE  APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED  (s) 000  100  100  100  100  100  100  100			<del>-</del>		99 FEB 01
(d) Date 35% Designed.  (e) Date Design Complete  (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  (2) Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications  (b) All Other Design Costs  (c) Total  (d) Contract  (e) In-house  (4) Construction Start  (5) Construction Completion  (5) Construction Completion  (6) Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT  PROCURING APPROPRIATED	(d) Date 35% Designed. 99 DEC 01 (e) Date Design Complete 00 DEC 01 (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000 (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780 (b) All Other Design Costs 120 (c) Total 900 (d) Contract 800 (e) In-house 100 Construction Start 00 JAN Construction Completion 00  Ment associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST COMENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)				•	_
(e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start (5) Construction Completion  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED	(e) Date Design Complete 00 DEC 01  (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780  (b) All Other Design Costs 120  (c) Total 900  (d) Contract 900  (e) In-house 100  Construction Start 00 JAN  Construction Completion 00  Ment associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST 100  MENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)				00	
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed  (2) Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications  (b) All Other Design Costs  (c) Total  (d) Contract  (e) In-house  (4) Construction Start  (5) Construction Completion  (5) Construction Completion  (6) Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT  PROCURING APPROPRIATED	(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000 (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780 (b) All Other Design Costs 120 (c) Total 900 (d) Contract 800 (e) In-house 100 Construction Start 00 JAN Construction Completion 00  Ment associated with this project will be provided from copriations: FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST MOMENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)			<del>-</del>		
(2) Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications  (b) All Other Design Costs  (c) Total  (d) Contract  (e) In-house  (4) Construction Start  (5) Construction Completion  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT  PROCURING APPROPRIATED	Basis:  (a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780  (b) All Other Design Costs 120  (c) Total 900  (d) Contract 800  (e) In-house 100  Construction Start 00 JAN  Construction Completion 00  ent associated with this project will be provided from opriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST (\$000)					
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -    (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -    (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$         (a) Production of Plans and Specifications         (b) All Other Design Costs         (c) Total         (d) Contract         (e) In-house    (4) Construction Start 00    (5) Construction Completion 00  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED CO	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -  (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780  (b) All Other Design Costs 120  (c) Total 900  (d) Contract 800  (e) In-house 100  Construction Start 00 JAN  Construction Completion 00  Ment associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST (\$000)	(0)		Study/Life-Cycle analys	sis was/will be pe	rformed
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -  (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 00 (5) Construction Completion 00  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED CO	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780  (b) All Other Design Costs 120  (c) Total 900  (d) Contract 800  (e) In-house 100  Construction Start 00 JAN  Construction Completion 00  Ment associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST (\$000)	(2)		rd or Definitive Design	_	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):  (a) Production of Plans and Specifications  (b) All Other Design Costs  (c) Total  (d) Contract  (e) In-house  (4) Construction Start  (5) Construction Completion  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT  PROCURING APPROPRIATED  CO	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000 (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780 (b) All Other Design Costs 120 (c) Total 900 (d) Contract 800 (e) In-house 100 Construction Start 00 JAN Construction Completion 00  Ment associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST MENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)			<del>-</del>		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start (5) Construction Completion  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT  PROCURING APPROPRIATED  CO	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications 780 (b) All Other Design Costs 120 (c) Total 900 (d) Contract 800 (e) In-house 100 Construction Start 00 JAN Construction Completion 00  Ment associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST (\$000)	/21				(\$000
(b) All Other Design Costs  (c) Total  (d) Contract  (e) In-house  (4) Construction Start  (5) Construction Completion  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT  PROCURING APPROPRIATED  CO	(b) All Other Design Costs  (c) Total  (d) Contract  (e) In-house  Construction Start  Construction Completion  dent associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT  PROCURING APPROPRIATED  COST  COMENCLATURE  APPROPRIATION  120  900  800  100  100  100  100  100  10	(3)				• •
(c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 00 (5) Construction Completion 00  c. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED CO	(c) Total 900 (d) Contract 800 (e) In-house 100 Construction Start 00 JAN Construction Completion 00  Ment associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST (\$000)				LICACIONS	
(d) Contract  (e) In-house  (4) Construction Start  (5) Construction Completion  00  c. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT  PROCURING APPROPRIATED  CO	(d) Contract  (e) In-house  Construction Start  Construction Completion  dent associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT  PROCURING APPROPRIATED  COST  COMENCLATURE  APPROPRIATION  ROOF  COST  COMENCLATURE  (\$000)			ler besign coacs		
(e) In-house  (4) Construction Start  (5) Construction Completion  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT  PROCURING APPROPRIATED  CO	(e) In-house 100 Construction Start 00 JAN Construction Completion 00  ment associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST (\$000)			_ <b></b>		
(4) Construction Start 00 (5) Construction Completion 00  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED CO	Construction Start 00 JAN Construction Completion 00  ent associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST (\$000)		(d) Contrac	36		
(5) Construction Completion 00  b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED CO	Construction Completion 00  ent associated with this project will be provided from opriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST (\$000)		* *			1 1 1
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED CO	ment associated with this project will be provided from copriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST (\$000)		(e) In-hous			
other appropriations: FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED CO	opriations:  FISCAL YEAR  EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST  OMENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)		(e) In-hous	on Start		IAL 00
FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED CO	FISCAL YEAR EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST COMENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)		(e) In-hous	on Start		IAL 00
EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED CO	EQUIPMENT PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST (MENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)	(5)	(e) In-hous Construction Construction	on Start on Completion	ill be provided fr	00 JAI 00
12011	OMENCIATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)	(5)	(e) In-hous Construction Construction	on Start on Completion		00 JAI 00
		(5)	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations:	on Start on Completion ted with this project w	FISCAL YEAR	00 JAI
	ree Standing Work Stations \$113,000	(5)	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations:	on Start on Completion ted with this project w PROCURING	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	00 JAI 00 Om
Free Standing Work Stations \$113,0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(5) b. Equipmother appr	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations: EQUIPMENT	on Start on Completion  ted with this project w  PROCURING  APPROPRIATIO	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	00 JAI 00 Om COST (\$000
		(5)  Equipmenther approximately (5)	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations: EQUIPMENT	on Start on Completion  ted with this project w  PROCURING  APPROPRIATIO	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	00 JAI 00 Om COST (\$000
		(5) o. Equipm other appr	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations: EQUIPMENT	on Start on Completion  ted with this project w  PROCURING  APPROPRIATIO	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	00 JAI 00 Om COST (\$000
		(5)  o. Equipmother appr	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations: EQUIPMENT	on Start on Completion  ted with this project w  PROCURING  APPROPRIATIO	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	00 JAI 00 Om COST (\$000
		(5)  o. Equipmother appr	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations: EQUIPMENT	on Start on Completion  ted with this project w  PROCURING  APPROPRIATIO	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	00 JAI 00 Om COST (\$000
		(5)  o. Equipmother appr	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations: EQUIPMENT	on Start on Completion  ted with this project w  PROCURING  APPROPRIATIO	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	00 JAI 00 Om COST (\$000
		(5) o. Equipm other appr	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations: EQUIPMENT	on Start on Completion  ted with this project w  PROCURING  APPROPRIATIO	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	00 JAI 00 Om COST (\$000
		(5) b. Equipm other appr	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations: EQUIPMENT	on Start on Completion  ted with this project w  PROCURING  APPROPRIATIO	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	00 JAI 00 Om COST (\$000
		(5) b. Equipm other appr	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations: EQUIPMENT	on Start on Completion  ted with this project w  PROCURING  APPROPRIATIO	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	00 JAI 00 Om COST (\$000
		(5) b. Equipmother appr	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations: EQUIPMENT	on Start on Completion  ted with this project w  PROCURING  APPROPRIATIO	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	00 JAI 00 Om COST (\$000
		(5) b. Equipm other appr	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations: EQUIPMENT	on Start on Completion  ted with this project w  PROCURING  APPROPRIATIO	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	00 JAI 00 Om COST (\$000
		(5) b. Equipm other appr	(e) In-hous Construction Construction ment associate copriations: EQUIPMENT	on Start on Completion  ted with this project w  PROCURING  APPROPRIATIO	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	00 JAI 00 Om COST (\$000

### Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/LOWRY AFB, COLORADO (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
<b>ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>		·	•	
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	271	381	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	271	381	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	271	381	0	0
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	950	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	950	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	363	2,003	1,249	2,078
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	(6)
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	363	2,003	1,249	2,078
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	858	-1,622	-1,249	-2,078
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	858	-1,622	-1,249	-2,078

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/LOWRY AFB, COLORADO (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(BOLDARO III III	000/11100/		TOTAL
	EV 2000	EV 2001	TOTAL FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	F1 2000	F 1 2001	F1 90 - 01
	0	0	0
Military Construction Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	652
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	032
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	-		652
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	0	652
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	950
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	950
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	3,081	1,739	10,513
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(6)	(6)	(6)
Military ES	0	0	0
-	_	_	10.512
TOTAL SAVINGS	3,081	1,739	10,513
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			_
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-3,081	-1,739	-8,911
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-3,081	-1,739	-8,911

#### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000/2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force/Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado

<u>Closure Package</u>: Lowry Air Force Base's 1001st Space Systems Squadron was inactivated and all related facilities were closed in FY 1997.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

### Malmstrom Air Force Base, Montana Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/MALMSTROM AFB, MONTANA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
<b>ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>				
Military Construction	4,826	12,738	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	210	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	293	2,335	108	0
Military Personnel - PCS	1,991	0	0	0
Other	357	326	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	7,677	15,399	108	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	7,677	15,399	108	0
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	134	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	134	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	562	646	2,235	3,547
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	562	646	2,235	3,547
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	4,826	12,738	0	. 0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	. 0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	210	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-135	1,689	-2,127	-3,547
Military Personnel - PCS	1,991	0	0	0
Other	357	326	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	7,249	14,753	-2,127	-3,547
	.,	,	, , , ,	, .

66 EXHIBIT BC-02

## BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/MALMSTROM AFB, MONTANA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	17,564
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	210
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	2,736
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	1,991
Other	0	0	683
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	23,184
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	0	23,184
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			, ,
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
	0	0	134
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	134
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	U	U	134
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5,259	2,968	15,217
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	5,259	2,968	15,217
	0,207	_,,,,,	10,21.
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	0	0	17,564
Military Construction	0	0	
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	
Environmental	0	0	
Operation & Maintenance	-5,259	-2,968	
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	,
Other	0	0	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
Financing Entry	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-5,259	-2,968	8,101

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000/2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force/Malmstrom Air Force Base, Montana

<u>Closure Package</u>: Malmstrom Air Force Base will be realigned by 13 July 2001. The 43rd Air Refueling Group has relocated to MacDill AFB, Florida, and the Malmstrom airfield has been closed.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

### McClellan Air Force Base, California Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/McCLELLAN AFB, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	753	0	8,100	6,400
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	27,814	25,694	40,175	26,486
Operation & Maintenance	7,616	32,081	113,064	142,707
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	1,760
Other	0	0	2,555	860
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	36,183	57,775	163,894	178,213
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	(10,400)	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	36,183	57,775	153,494	178,213
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,059	0	17,877	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	1,059	0	17,877	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	4,000	27,300
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	. 0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	4,000	27,300
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>				
Military Construction	753	0	8,100	6,400
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	27,814	25,694	40,175	26,486
Operation & Maintenance	8,675			
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	1,760
Other	0	0	2,555	860
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	-10,400	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	37,242	57,775	167,371	150,913

70 EXHIBIT BC-02

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/McCLELLAN AFB, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	,
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	34,567	39,457	•
Operation & Maintenance	114,710	62,498	•
Military Personnel - PCS	645	3,548	-
Other	0	0	3,415
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	149,922	105,503	691,490
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	(10,400)
Homeowners Assistance Program	564	0	564
Financing Entry	-56,075	49,606	
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	94,411	155,109	675,185
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	18,936
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	18,936
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	28,800	28,800	88,900
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(768)	, ,
Military ES	0	(704)	(704)
TOTAL SAVINGS	28,800	28,800	88,900
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	15,253
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	34,567	39,457	194,193
Operation & Maintenance	85,910	33,698	
Military Personnel - PCS	645	3,548	
Other	0	0	
Homeowners Assistance Program	564	0	
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
Financing Entry	-56,075	49,606	•
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	•	126,309	•

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force Depot/McClellan Air Force Base, California

<u>Closure Package:</u> McClellan Air Force Base will close by 13 July 2001. Consolidate workload to other DoD depots or to private sector commercial activities, as determined by the Defense Depot Maintenance Council.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

<u>Military Personnel - PCS</u>: \$0.1 Million. Funding supports Military personnel permanent change of station costs associated with closing the Air Logistics Center (ALC).

Operation and Maintenance: \$114.7 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance (O&M) supports civilian personnel costs associated with closing the ALC and the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA). These civilian costs include annual leave, separation pay, severance pay, civilian unemployment and civilian PCS. The closure also requires moving costs and the "transportation of things" for AFMC, DLA and other tenants. Air Force Base Conversion Agency have O&M costs associated with maintaining an operating location including utilities and rents, civilian pay, and travel.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$34.6 Million. Environmental contamination at the installation has resulted from underground storage tanks, fire training areas, dumps near industrial operations, landfills, leaks near industrial waste lines, and surface spills. A study in FY 1979 detected groundwater contamination that led to the closure of two on-base and three off-base drinking water wells. In addition to 18 acres of soil contamination in the vadose zone, three large plumes of contaminated groundwater have been identified.

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

### U. S. Air Force Depot/McClellan Air Force Base, California

<u>Closure Package</u>: McClellan Air Force Base will close by 13 July 2001. Consolidate workload to other DoD depots or to private sector commercial activities, as determined by the Defense Depot Maintenance Council.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

<u>Military Personnel - PCS</u>: \$3.5 Million. Funding supports military personnel permanent change of station costs associated with closing the Air Logistics Center (ALC).

Operation and Maintenance: \$62.5 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance (O&M) supports civilian personnel costs associated with closing the ALC and the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA). These civilian costs include annual leave, separation pay, severance pay, civilian unemployment and civilian PCS. The closure also requires moving costs and the "transportation of things" for AFMC, DLA and other tenants. Air Force Base Conversion Agency have O&M costs associated with maintaining an operating location including utilities and rents, civilian pay, and travel.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$39.5 Million. Environmental contamination at the installation has resulted from underground storage tanks, fire training areas, dumps near industrial operations, landfills, leaks near industrial waste lines, and surface spills. A study in FY 1979 detected groundwater contamination that led to the closure of two on-base and three off-base drinking water wells. In addition to 18 acres of soil contamination in the vadose zone, three large plumes of contaminated groundwater have been identified.

### O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/O'HARE IAP ARS, ILLINOIS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	2,101	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	30	0	1,434	1,200
Operation & Maintenance	1,372	5,830	0	167
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
City of Chicago	0	87,508	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	3,503	93,338	1,434	1,367
City of Chicago Funds	0	(87,508)	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	Ó	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	3,503	5,830	1,434	1,367
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	3,597	0	0	0
Other	0,051	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	3,597	0	0	0
	0,00	v	ŭ	·
SAVINGS: Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,930	5,948	5,948
Military Personnel	0	2,930	210	217
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(206)	(206)	(206)
Military ES	0	(6)	(6)	(6)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	3,134	6,158	6,165
	J	3,131	0,150	0,103
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	2 101	^	^	0
Military Construction	2,101	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	1 424	1 200
Environmental	30 4.060	2 000	1,434	1,200
Operation & Maintenance	4,969	2,900 -204	-5,948	
Military Personnel - PCS	0	_	-210	-217
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program  Payonyas from Land Salas ( )	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	7 100	2 606	4 724	4.700
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	7,100	2,696	-4,724	-4,798

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/O'HARE IAP ARS, ILLINOIS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLARS II	N IHOUSAN	<b>TOT:</b>	
	FY 2000	FY 2001	TOTAL FY 96 - 01
<b>ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	2,101
Family Housing - Construction	0	, 0	
- Operations	0	0	
Environmental	200	100	•
Operation & Maintenance	35	35	•
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	
Other	0	0	
City of Chicago	0	0	,
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	235	135	•
City of Chicago Funds	0	0	(87,508)
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	-
Financing Entry	-100	-100	
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	135	35	12,304
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	3,597
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	3,597
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	
Operation & Maintenance	5,948	5,948	
Military Personnel	220	226	1,077
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(206)	(206)	(206)
Military ES	(6)	(6)	
TOTAL SAVINGS	6,168	6,174	27,799
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	2,101
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	200	100	2,964
Operation & Maintenance	-5,913	-5,913	
Military Personnel - PCS	-220	-226	
Other	0	0	_
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Financing Entry	-100	-100	-200
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-6,033	-6,139	-11,898
	•	-	•

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force/O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois

Closure Package: O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station will close by 30 June 1999. The 928th Airlift Wing (AFRC) will deactivate and redistribute aircraft to Dobbins ARB, Georgia and Peterson AFB, Colorado. O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station will close as proposed by the City of Chicago; the 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will relocate to Scott AFB, Illinois provided the City of Chicago demonstrates that it has financing in place to cover the full cost of replacing facilities, environmental impact analyses, moving, and any added costs of environmental cleanup resulting from higher standards, or a faster schedule than DoD would be obligated to meet if the base did not close. The O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station's 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) relocation will occur without any cost whatsoever to the Federal Government.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

<u>Operation and Maintenance:</u> \$0.0 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance support costs associated with an operating location including utilities and rents, civilian pay, and travel.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0.2 Million. Funds required for on-going remediation in place.

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force/O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois

Closure Package: O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station will close by 30 June 1999. The 928th Airlift Wing (AFRC) will deactivate and redistribute aircraft to Dobbins ARB, Georgia and Peterson AFB, Colorado. O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station will close as proposed by the City of Chicago; the 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will relocate to Scott AFB, Illinois provided the City of Chicago demonstrates that it has financing in place to cover the full cost of replacing facilities, environmental impact analyses, moving, and any added costs of environmental cleanup resulting from higher standards, or a faster schedule than DoD would be obligated to meet if the base did not close. The O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station's 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) relocation will occur without any cost whatsoever to the Federal Government.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.0 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance support costs associated with an operating location including utilities and rents, civilian pay, and travel.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0.1 Million. Funds required for on-going remediation in place.

### Onizuka Air Station, California Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/ONIZUKA AIR STATION, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
<b>ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	23,401	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	90	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,988	9,532	31,745	24,374
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	339	500	5,950	1,844
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,417	10,032	61,096	26,218
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	2,417	10,032	61,096	26,218
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	13	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	13	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	758	0	1,228	3,881
Military Personnel	0	0	0	3,704
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	758	0	1,228	7,585
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	23,401	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	90	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,243	9,532	30,517	20,493
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	-3,704
Other	339	500	5,950	1,844
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	. 0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1,672	10,032	59,868	18,633

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/ONIZUKA AIR STATION, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	EV 2000	FV 2001	TOTAL FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	F 1 2000	F 1 2001	F1 70 - 01
Military Construction	0	0	23,401
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	90
Operation & Maintenance	12,358	3,313	83,310
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	8,633
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	12,358	3,313	115,434
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	. 0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	12,358	3,313	115,434
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:	,	•	•
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	13
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	13
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	9,743	6,057	21,667
Military Personnel	9,311	11,297	24,312
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	19,054	17,354	45,979
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	23,401
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	90
Operation & Maintenance	2,615	-2,744	61,656
Military Personnel - PCS	-9,311	-11,297	-24,312
Other	0	0	8,633
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-6,696	-14,041	69,468

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force/Onizuka Air Station, California

<u>Closure Package</u>: Onizuka Air Station will realign by FY 2000. The 750th Space Group will inactivate and its functions will relocate to Falcon AFB, Colorado. Detachment 2, Space and Missile Systems Center (AFMC) will relocate to Falcon AFB, Colorado. Some tenants will remain in existing facilities. All activities and facilities associated with the 750th Space Group, including family housing and the clinic, will close.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$12.4 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance supports civilian personnel costs associated with the realignment, and communication equipment required in new facilities. These civilian costs include annual leave, separation pay, severance pay, and civilian unemployment.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force/Onizuka Air Station, California

Closure Package: Onizuka Air Station will realign by FY 2000. The 750th Space Group will inactivate and its functions will relocate to Falcon AFB, Colorado. Detachment 2, Space and Missile Systems Center (AFMC) will relocate to Falcon AFB, Colorado. Some tenants will remain in existing facilities. All activities and facilities associated with the 750th Space Group, including family housing and the clinic, will close.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

<u>Operation and Maintenance:</u> \$3.3 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance supports costs associated with the realignment and communication equipment required in new facilities.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

### Ontario IAP Air Guard Station, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/ONTARIO IAP, AIR GUARD STATION, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
<b>ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>				
Military Construction	0	700	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	318	0	0	365
Operation & Maintenance	4	417	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	322	1,117	0	365
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	322	1,117	0	365
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	2	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	59	217	220	349
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	(1)
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	59	217	220	349
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	700	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	318	0	0	365
Operation & Maintenance	-53	200	-220	
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	
Other	0	. 0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	-
Financing Entry	0	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	265	900	-220	16

88

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/ONTARIO IAP, AIR GUARD STATION, CALIFORNIA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	•	•	<b>7</b> 00
Military Construction	0	0	700
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	683
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	421
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	1,804
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	0	1,804
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	2
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	2
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	517	292	1,654
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(1)	(1)	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	517	292	1,654
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	700
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	683
Operation & Maintenance	-517	-292	
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	,
Other	0	0	_
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
Financing Entry	0	0	_
	_	ŭ	v
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-517	-292	152

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000/2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

### U. S. Air Force/Ontario IAP Air Guard Station, California

<u>Closure Package</u>: Ontario IAP Air Guard Station closed in 1997. The 148<sup>th</sup> Combat Communications Squadron and the 210<sup>th</sup> weather Flight relocated to March ARB, California.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

### REDCAP, New York Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION REAL TIME DIGITALLY CONTROLLER ANALYZER PROCESSOR ACTIVITY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	1,008	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	1,300	1,700	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	2,308	1,700	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	2,308	1,700	0
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	. 0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	19	0	45	137
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	(1)	(1)
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	19	0	45	137
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	1,008	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-19	1,300	1,655	-137
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	ŏ
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	ő	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	_		1,655	-137

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION REAL TIME DIGITALLY CONTROLLER ANALYZER PROCESSOR ACTIVITY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	-		
Military Construction	0	0	1,008
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	3,000
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	4,008
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	0	0	4,008
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			•
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
	U	U	U
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	202	114	517
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(1)	(1)	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	202	114	517
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	1,008
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	-202	-114	2,483
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-202	-114	3,491

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000/2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

### U. S. Air Force/REDCAP, New York

<u>Closure Package:</u> The Real Time Digitally Controller Analyzer Processor Activity was disestablished on 30 September 1997. Test activities were relocated to the Air Force Flight Test Center at Edwards AFB, California.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

Reese Air Force Base, Texas Package

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/REESE AFB, TEXAS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	2,737	3,338	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,629	7,642	23,837	13,061
Operation & Maintenance	1,893	10,848	1,596	3,395
Military Personnel - PCS	80	1,834	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	16,602	23,061	28,771	16,456
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	16,602	23,061	28,771	16,456
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,273	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	1,273	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	770	1,676	1,676
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	6,960	24,078	26,160	41,513
Military Personnel	0	14,196	14,490	14,979
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(259)	(259)	(259)
Military ES	0	(339)	(339)	(339)
TOTAL SAVINGS	6,960	39,044	42,326	58,168
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	2,737	3,338	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	-770	-	-1,676
- Operations	0	0		
Environmental	14,629	7,642	23,837	13,061
Operation & Maintenance	-3,794			
Military Personnel - PCS	80			
Other	0	0	0	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	10,915	-15,983	-13,555	-41,712

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/REESE AFB, TEXAS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLARS IN 1 II	OUSANDS)		
			TOTAL
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
<b>ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	6,075
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	2,552	2,552	64,273
Operation & Maintenance	383	68	18,183
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	1,914
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,935	2,620	90,445
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	5	0	5
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	2,940	2,620	90,450
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	1,273
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	1,273
CAVINCS.			,
SAVINGS: Military Construction	0	0	0
Military Construction	1,541	1,541	•
Family Housing - Construction	1,541	1,541	•
- Operations	_	_	-
Operation & Maintenance	61,556	34,741	•
Military Personnel	15,269 0	15,577 0	
Other	•	_	0
Civilian ES	(259)	(259)	0
Military ES	(339)	(339)	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	78,366	51,859	276,723
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	6,075
Family Housing - Construction	-1,541	-1,541	-7,204
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	2,552	2,552	64,273
Operation & Maintenance	-61,173	-34,673	-175,552
Military Personnel - PCS	-15,269	-15,577	-72,597
Other	0	0	
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Financing Entry	5	0	5
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-75,426	-49,239	-185,000
	, .= 0	,/	22,000

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force/Reese Air Force Base, Texas

<u>Closure Package</u>: Reese Air Force Base closed 30 September 1997. All pilot training will be divided among the remaining Undergraduate Pilot Training bases.

#### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.4 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance (O&M) at Air Force Base Conversion Agency. The O&M costs are associated with maintaining an operating location including caretaker costs, utilities and rents, civilian pay, travel, equipment, and supplies.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$2.6 Million. Assessments and inspections have identified 13 sites with the following concerns: landfills, surface impoundments, Underground Storage Tanks, sludge spreading areas, industrial drain lines, and fire training areas at the installation. Past waste management practices have resulted in groundwater and soil contamination with volatile organic compounds, fuels, heavy metals, pesticides, and herbicides being the primary contaminants of concern. Final cleanup actions are expected to be completed in FY1999. Funding will be required for long term operation and monitoring of existing cleanup systems and sites.

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force/Reese Air Force Base, Texas

<u>Closure Package</u>: Reese Air Force Base closed 30 September 1997. All pilot training will be divided among the remaining Undergraduate Pilot Training bases.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.1 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance (O&M) at Air Force Base Conversion Agency. The O&M costs are associated with maintaining an operating location including caretaker costs, utilities and rents, civilian pay, travel, equipment, and supplies.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$2.6 Million. Assessments and inspections have identified 13 sites with the following concerns: landfills, surface impoundments, Underground Storage Tanks, sludge spreading areas, industrial drain lines, and fire training areas at the installation. Past waste management practices have resulted in groundwater and soil contamination with volatile organic compounds, fuels, heavy metals, pesticides, and herbicides being the primary contaminants of concern. Funding will be required for long term operation and monitoring of existing cleanup systems and sites.

Roslyn Air Guard Station, New York

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/ROSLYN AIR GUARD STATION, NEW YORK (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	650	0	6,000
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	51	320	30	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	155	0	62
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	51	1,125	30	6,062
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	51	1,125	30	6,062
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2	40	40
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(4)	(4)	(4)
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	2	40	40
	v	_		
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	0	650	0	6,000
Military Construction	0	0.50	0	0,000
Family Housing - Construction - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	51	320	30	0
	0	153	-40	22
Operation & Maintenance Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
	•	Ū	_	-
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	51	1,123	-10	6,022

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/ROSLYN AIR GUARD STATION, NEW YORK (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

(DOLLARS IN 11	OUSANDS)		
	TX / 0000	TTY 7 0 0 0 4	TOTAL
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	•	•	
Military Construction	0	0	6,650
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	1 200	0	401
Operation & Maintenance	1,306	0	1,523
Military Personnel - PCS	305	0	305
Other COSTS	1.611	0	0 070
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,611	0	8,879
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0.070
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	1,611	0	8,879
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	40	40	162
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(4)	(4)	(4)
Military ES	Ó	Ó	Ô
TOTAL SAVINGS	40	40	162
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	6,650
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0,020
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	401
Operation & Maintenance	1,266	-40	
Military Personnel - PCS	305	0	
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
Financing Entry	0	0	0
·	•		
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1,571	-40	8,717

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

### U. S. Air Force/Roslyn Air Guard Station, New York

Closure Package: Roslyn Air Guard Station will close 30 September 2000.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

<u>Military Personnel - PCS</u>: \$0.3 Million. Military personnel funding supports movement of officer and enlisted military personnel to Stewart International AGS, NY.

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.3 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance supports civilian personnel costs associated with the PCS to Stewart AGS, NY, installation of a local area network at Stewart, and severance and unemployment costs for civilians that do not relocate.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

### U. S. Air Force/Roslyn Air Guard Station, New York

Closure Package: Roslyn Air Guard Station will close 30 September 2000.

### **One Time Implementation Costs:**

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Military Personnel - PCS: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A.

### U. S. Air Force Program Management Summary

**Base Closure and Realignment Detail** 

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
<b>ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>				
Military Construction	10,390	6,815	4,157	700
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,056	3,879	5,611	8,542
Operation & Maintenance	1,214	6,409	6,605	608
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	13,660	17,103	16,373	9,850
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	13,660	17,103	16,373	9,850
<b>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</b>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	2,032	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	2,032	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
	·	v	Ū	ŭ
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	10 200	6,815	4,157	700
Military Construction Family Housing - Construction	10,390 0	0,813	4,137	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,056	_	_	8,542
Operation & Maintenance	3,246			608
Military Personnel - PCS	0,240	0,402	0,003	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Financing Entry	0	0	0	0
	_	_	•	-
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	15,692	17,103	16,373	9,850

### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1995 COMMISSION USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 96 - 01
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	265	97	22,424
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	21,271	19,748	61,107
Operation & Maintenance	21,852	9,014	45,702
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	43,388	28,859	129,233
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Financing Entry	-5,106	18,699	13,593
APPROPRIATION REQUEST	38,282	47,558	142,826
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	2,032
Other	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	2,032
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
·	-	_	-
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
<b>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</b>			
Military Construction	265	97	22,424
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	21,271	19,748	61,107
Operation & Maintenance	21,852	9,014	47,734
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Financing Entry	-5,106	18,699	13,593
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	43,388	28,859	131,265

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2000 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force/Program Management

<u>Closure Package</u>: This exhibit displays overall one-time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base, and combines the costs of program management as a result of the recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. These costs generally include military construction, planning and design, environmental studies, and headquarters management requirements.

### **One Time Implementations Costs:**

### Military Construction:

			Auth	Appn
		Fiscal Year	Amount	Amount
State/Installation	Project Title/#	of Award	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
Various Locations	Planning and Design/BCL00R04	2000	230	230
Various Locations	Supervision Inspection and Overhead	2000	0	35
Total 2000			230	265

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$21.9 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance (O&M) supports civilian personnel costs associated with Air Force Base Conversion Agency at Roslyn, Virginia. O&M costs including utilities and rents, civilian pay, and travel.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues from Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$21.3 Million. Supports environmental requirements that pertain to all BRAC 88/91/93/95 installations. These requirements include funding associated with civilian pay for some employees at the Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence and funds transferred to the Army as executive agent for programs such as Defense State Memorandum of Agreement, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Defense Environmental Restoration Task Force and Environmental Protection Agency. In addition, these funds cover the cost of Environmental Baseline Surveys, Environmental Assessments, relative risk analyses and data management.

Savings: Savings are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and have already been realized.

### Program Management Package

FY 2000 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT											2.	DATE
!	FY 2000 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA											
AIR FORCE												
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE												
								OSURI				
VARIOUS LOCATIONS PLANNING AND DESIGN    5. PROGRAM ELEMENT   6. CATEGORY CODE   7. PROJECT NUMBER   8. PROJECT COST (\$00)							7077 ( + 0 0 0 )					
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	ь.	CATEGO	RY CODE	7. PRO	OEC.	r. MOL	IBER	8. E	KOOE	UT (	2081 (\$000)
9.12.11		1	010-2	11	   BCL	00RI	04					230
					T ESTIM	ATE	5		<b></b>			
										UNI	r	COST
			rem				U/M	QUAN	TITY	COS'	T	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE	- PLANI	NIN	G AND D	ESIGN			LS					230
SUBTOTAL								<u>.</u>				230
TOTAL CONTRA	CT COST	r					ļ	!				230
TOTAL REQUES							ļ	ļ		ļ		230
TOTAL REQUES	r (ROU	NDE:	D)				ļ	1		ļ		230
}								)		!		}
							!	ļ		ļ		
							ļ	ļ		!		
								ļ		!		ļ
1							ļ	ļ				!
1							!	ļ				}
										•		ا ا
								!		ļ		
										ļ		
								ļ		ļ		ļ
1										į		
								ļ		ļ.		ļ
								<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
10. Descrip	tion c	f	ropose	d Constr	ruction	: F	unds	requ	ieste	a wil	L I	inance
architectura	and	eng	ineeri	ng servi	ces and	i co	nstr	uctio	n de	sign	IOI	ALT
Force Base F	Realign	mer	it and	Closure	Milita:	ry C	const	ructi	lon P	rogra	ım	
supporting r	ealign	mer	t/clos	ure Kell	Ly AFB	and	McC1	.ellar	1 AFB			
11. REOUIRE	11. REOUIREMENT: As required.											

PROJECT: Planning and Design

REQUIREMENT: In order to implement the decisions of the 1995 Base Realignment and Closure Commission, funds are necessary to develop plans and designs supporting the functions to be realigned. These funds would be used for planning projects to be executed in FY 2001.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If funds are not provided, actions determined by the 1995 Base Realignment and Closure Commission will not happen.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.

1. COMPONENT 2. DATE FY 2000 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA Feb 1999 AIR FORCE (computer generated) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, AND VARIOUS LOCATIONS OVERHEAD (SIOH) 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT | 6. CATEGORY CODE | 7. PROJECT NUMBER | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) Auth: BCL01RD4 Approp: 35 9.12.11 011-111 9. COST ESTIMATES UNIT COST |U/M|QUANTITY| COST (\$000) SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, AND OVERHEAD 35 LS (SIOH) 35 SUBTOTAL 35 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 35 TOTAL REQUEST 35 TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) 10. Description of Proposed Construction: The funds requested Will be used to finance the supervision, Inspection, and Overhead (SICH) associated with Air Force Military Construction/Environmental funded projects which will be executed in Budget Activity 4. 11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT:

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1995 COMMISSION FY 2001 PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

#### U. S. Air Force/Program Management

<u>Closure Package</u>: This exhibit displays overall one-time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base, and combines the costs of program management as a result of the recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. These costs generally include military construction, planning and design, environmental studies, and headquarters management requirements.

#### **One Time Implementations Costs:**

#### Military Construction:

State/Installation Various Locations	Project Title/# SIOH/BCL01RD4	Fiscal Year <u>of Award</u> 2001	Auth Amount (\$000) 0	Appn Amount (\$000) 97
Total 2001			0	97

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$9.0 Million. Funding for operation and maintenance (O&M) supports civilian personnel costs associated with Air Force Base Conversion Agency at Roslyn, Virginia. O&M costs including utilities and rents, civilian pay, and travel.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues from Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$19.7 Million. Supports environmental requirements that pertain to all BRAC 88/91/93/95 installations. These requirements include funding associated with civilian pay for some employees at the Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence and funds transferred to the Army as executive agent for programs such as Defense State Memorandum of Agreement, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Defense Environmental Restoration Task Force and Environmental Protection Agency. In addition, these funds cover the cost of Environmental Baseline Surveys, Environmental Assessments, relative risk analyses and data management.

Savings: Savings are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and have already been realized.

### Program Management Package

FY 2001 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONEN			7					:	2.	DATE	
FY 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA   AIR FORCE   (computer generated)   Feb 1999											
3. INSTALLA	TION AN		3	4. 1	PROJ	ECT :				1	
				!				PECTI	ON,	AND	
VARIOUS LOC		LC CAMECORY CODE	I DRO			D (S:		PO.TEC	ידי כ	COST (SOON)	
5. PROGRAM	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)								1 (2000)		
9.12.11		011-111	BCL	O1RD	4		<u> </u>			97	
9. COST ESTIMATES											
]		**************************************			rt /M	   ∩rtaat	UNIT   COS				
Leidebijteton	TMCDE	ITEM CTION, AND OVERHE	ZAD		U/ 141	QUAIN	<u> </u>			(\$000)	
(SIOH)	, INSEE	CIION, AND OVER		:	LS	Ì				97	
SUBTOTAL				İ		ĺ				97	
TOTAL CONTR		T		ļ						97	
TOTAL REQUE										97   97	
TOTAL REQUE	ST (ROU	INDED)		i		] ]		<u> </u>		]	
t 1				i		İ					
				i		İ				j	
İ				!						!	
				ļ				İ		] !	
				l i		} 				! ! ! !	
1								j 		i i	
1				j		İ				j	
i						ĺ				!	
				ļ		1		ļ			
10. Descri	ntion (	of Proposed Const	ruction:	SI	ОН	costs	are	annu	ali	zed in	
accordance	with th	ne current outlay	rates a	ıs ex	per	ience	d by	the .	Air	Force.	
To reflect	the tra	ansition to annual	lized fu	ındin	ıg a	nd fo	llow	on p	er	each	
year, this	adjust	ment reduces each	project	and	i co	nvert	s ac	tual	pay	ment of	
SIOH thru	he BRA	C/AF funding stre	am. Thi	.s do	cum	ent r	erre	cts F	Y20	01	
	CPEMENT:	ures for SIOH.  As required.				······································					
PROJECT:	CEPIENI.	na required.									
			-								
İ			-								
1											
İ											
l											
i											
İ											
1											
1											
i											

# 2000 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION U.S. AIR FORCE (\$ In Thousands)

	AUTH AMOUNT (\$000)	APPN AMOUNT (\$000)	<b>PAGE</b>
TEXAS:			
Kelly AFB Alter Base Maintenance Shop (MBPB993217R1) Alter Communications Facility (MBPB993221R1) Total for Kelly AFB	820 750 <b>1,570</b>	784 712 <b>1,496</b>	48 50
Lackland AFB Add/Alter Base Engineer Facility (MPLS003105) Total for Lackland AFB	3,100 <b>3,100</b>	2,956 <b>2,956</b>	52
Various Supervision, Inspection, and Overhead Total for Texas/Various Locations		-35 - <b>35</b>	54
<u>VARIOUS:</u>			
Planning and Design (BCL 00RD4) Supervision, Inspection, and Overhead Total for Various Locations	230 230	230 35 <b>265</b>	112 113
TOTAL:	4,900	4,682	

# 2001 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION U.S. AIR FORCE (\$ In Thousands)

	AMOUNT (\$000)	AMOUNT (\$000)	PAGE
TEXAS:			
Ft. Sam Houston DRMO Complex (CNBC999991)	12,800	12,255	58
<u>VARIOUS:</u>			
SIOH (2 <sup>nd</sup> Yr)	. 0	97	116
TOTAL:	12,800	12,352	